

Apologetics — String Theory

Let's discuss the problems with appealing to String Theory [ST]: 1. ST is a poor selection of terms. It should not even be regarded as a theory; it should be regarded as a "proto-theory" or a "hypothesis with no evidence". 2. ST has yet to put forward a "testable prediction". Sure, it makes predictions, but if you can't test the mechanics of the predictions, it is utterly futile, and should not even be considered. 3. ST is not "background independent", meaning that it automatically fails as being a "Theory for Everything". ST relies on two basic principles [time and space], strings that vibrate in space, over a period of time. 4. ST has not, and cannot explain what time and space are, and what caused both of these parameters. Not to mention the other Cosmological Constants [CC's]. 5. ST has only grown more complicated over time, going from 4 dimensions, to 11. 7/11 dimensions are not even observable, because they are too difficult to even see. The dimensions are so tightly bound, that we can't even unravel them. To make a case around non-observable evidence completely destroys the scientific method. These 7 dimensions are inferred by the mathematical equations, used to rescue the proto-theory. 6. ST also has no way of explaining Dark Energy [DE]. In conclusion, we can see that ST is an unreliable hypothesis that is driven by insufficient evidence, insufficient modeling, and what is essentially a dream. If we were able to make testable hypothesis, and the validate the hypothesis we may have an argument to push things forward. Your appeal to ST is simply not an argument for your position. It is an attempt to explain away God, by appealing to an infinitely more complex system, that has no evidence. As a truth seeker, it is deplorable that you would even *consider* ST as a viable option.